Molecular Ecology (2015) 24, 980-992

Host association drives genetic divergence in the bed bug, *Cimex lectularius*

WARREN BOOTH,*¹ ONDŘEJ BALVÍN,†¹ EDWARD L. VARGO,§¶ JITKA VILÍMOVÁ† and COBY SCHAL§

*Department of Biological Sciences, The University of Tulsa, Tulsa, OK 74104, USA, †Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, Vinicna 7, 128 44, Prague 2, Czech Republic, ‡Department of Ecology, Faculty of Environmental Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Kamycka 129, 165 21, Prague 6, Czech Republic, \$Department of Entomology and W.M. Keck Center for Behavioral Biology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, U.S.A

Abstract

Genetic differentiation may exist among sympatric populations of a species due to long-term associations with alternative hosts (i.e. host-associated differentiation). While host-associated differentiation has been documented in several phytophagus insects, there are far fewer cases known in animal parasites. The bed bug, Cimex lectularius, a wingless insect, represents a potential model organism for elucidating the processes involved in host-associated differentiation in animal parasites with relatively limited mobility. In conjunction with the expansion of modern humans from Africa into Eurasia, it has been speculated that bed bugs extended their host range from bats to humans in their shared cave domiciles throughout Eurasia. C. lectularius that associate with humans have a cosmopolitan distribution, whereas those associated with bats occur across Europe, often in human-built structures. We assessed genetic structure and gene flow within and among populations collected in association with each host using mtDNA, microsatellite loci and knock-down resistance gene variants. Both nuclear and mitochondrial data support a lack of significant contemporary gene flow between host-specific populations. Within locations human-associated bed bug populations exhibit limited genetic diversity and elevated levels of inbreeding, likely due to human-mediated movement, infrequent additional introduction events per infestation, and pest control. In contrast, populations within bat roosts exhibit higher genetic diversity and lower levels of relatedness, suggesting populations are stable with temporal fluctuations due to host dispersal and bug mortality. In concert with previously published evidence of morphological and behavioural differentiation, the genetic data presented here suggest C. lectularius is currently undergoing lineage divergence through host association.

Keywords: ancestral host species, Cimicidae, host-associated differentiation, host-parasite, speciation

Received 3 November 2014; revision received 5 January 2015; accepted 15 January 2015

Introduction

Discerning modes of speciation is a keystone in understanding biodiversity and mechanisms of evolution. Parasitic organisms are particularly informative, with speciation events potentially driven through the development of reproductive barriers between populations associated with alternative host organisms, that is alloxenic speciation (Mehlhorn 2008). This mode of speciation likely stems from local adaptations of the parasite and shifts in its host specificity (Poulin 2007). Local ecological adaptation and differentiation can lead to the formation of host races within a species and is considered

Correspondence: Warren Booth, Fax: +918 631 2762;

E-mail: warren-booth@utulsa.edu

Present address: Department of Entomology, 2143 TAMU,

Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 77843-2143, USA

¹These authors contributed equally.

a major route for sympatric speciation (Coyne & Orr 2004). Host races are defined by groups within a species that (i) use different hosts and exhibit host fidelity; (ii) coexist in sympatry; (iii) feature genetic differentiation at more than one locus: (iv) exhibit a correlation between host choice and mate choice; and (v) feature some level of mutual gene flow (Dres & Mallet 2002). Because members of host races are in general more fit on natal hosts than on alternative hosts, and they produce hybrids with reduced fitness, an eventual discontinuity in gene flow caused by physical isolation or assortative mating may lead to incipient speciation. Often reported in phytophagous organisms [e.g. (Feder et al. 1988; Dres & Mallet 2002)], a paucity of examples describing host-associated differentiation and the emergence of host races exists among animal parasites (Marchetti et al. 1998; Als et al. 2002; McCoy et al. 2003; Kempf et al. 2009, 2011). Recently however, based upon mitochondrial DNA sequences and morphological and behavioural differences, host-associated lineages were suggested to have developed in a geographically widespread human pest, the bed bug Cimex lectularius (Balvín et al. 2012a; Wawrocka & Bartonička 2013).

Cimex lectularius is a member of the family Cimicidae, a speciose group of obligate blood-feeding insects (Usinger 1966; Henry 2009). They spend most of their time in the shelter of the host and feed directly on the host (Usinger 1966; Bartonička & Růžičková 2013). Lacking wings, active dispersal appears limited to within buildings, and passive host-mediated dispersal likely shapes dispersal patterns (Balvín et al. 2012b; Booth et al. 2012; Saenz et al. 2012; Fountain et al. 2014). Bats are considered the ancestral zoophilic host of C. lectularius (Horváth 1913), and the documented association of bed bugs with humans dates back to ancient Egypt (Panagiotakopulu & Buckland 1999). But the association with humans likely is much more ancient, going back to the time when humans and bats sheltered together in caves (Usinger 1966). Common prior to WWII, C. lectularius was nearly eradicated in developed countries during the 1940s and 1950s due to the widespread use of DDT and other control measures (Boase 2001). However, in recent years, an unprecedented global resurgence has occurred, likely facilitated by the evolution of insecticide resistance, increased national and international travel, global commerce, and local proliferation of thrift and second-hand shops [e.g. (Pinto et al. 2007; Zhu et al. 2010)].

A recent study by Balvín *et al.* (2012a) based on mtDNA and morphometric analyses suggested considerable genetic and morphological divergence exists between European *C. lectularius* associated with humans and those collected within the roosts of synanthropic bats. Morphological differences suggest adaptation to alternative hosts, mainly

changes associated with sensory, feeding and dispersal needs. Only a single mitochondrial haplotype was shared between human- and bat-associated bed bugs from a total of 20 different haplotypes identified (14 from bats, 7 from humans). Limitations associated with divergence dates based solely upon molecular data notwithstanding (Arbogast et al. 2002), Balvín et al. (2012a) proposed that the two lineages diverged approximately 245 000 years ago (95% confidence interval 98 696 to 866 522 years ago). Even in situations where humans and bats could reside in the same building, the two bed bug populations appear to maintain host fidelity and thus lineage divergence. This is supported by a recent transplant experiment in which C. lectularius collected from humans or bats fed less frequently and had higher mortality on the non-natal host (Wawrocka & Bartonička 2013). While mtDNA has proved valuable in revealing ancestral associations (Avise 2000), it often lacks the resolution to inform us of contemporary gene flow and fine-scale genetic structure. Variation at nuclear DNA loci, on the other hand, can be a more powerful means for detecting population structure and gene flow.

Divergent selection pressures on bat- and human-associated *C. lectularius* should also lead to polymorphisms at selected loci, depending on the extent of contemporary gene flow between the two lineages. Because humanassociated bed bugs are extensively treated with insecticides, whereas bat-associated bugs are not, genes that confer resistance to insecticides should differentiate between the two lineages and serve as signatures of lineage divergence. Certain mutations in the voltage-gated sodium channel, which result in *knock-down resistance* (*kdr*) and thus reduced sensitivity to DDT and pyrethroid insecticides (Yoon *et al.* 2008; Zhu *et al.* 2010), are expected to be widespread among human-associated bed bugs – as shown in the United States (Zhu *et al.* 2010) – and absent in *C. lectularius* associated with bats.

In the present study, we assess contemporary gene flow and examine the degree of genetic differentiation of *C. lectularius* populations associated with bats and humans using three classes of genetic markers: microsatellites, mtDNA sequence data and *kdr* haplotypes. Our findings demonstrate that two host-associated lineages exist and experience little contemporary gene flow, despite a lack of ecological barriers. We conclude that there are two genetically divergent host-associated races of *C. lectularius* which may represent an early stage in sympatric speciation.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and DNA extraction

A total of 756 individual *C. lectularius* were collected from human dwellings (n = 569 specimens from 66 locations, in 9 countries) and bat roosts (n = 187 specimens from 37 locations, in 9 countries), across 13 European countries (Table S1, Supporting information). All of the bat roosts sampled were within the attics of human-built structures. Specimens were preserved in 96% ethanol. Genomic DNA was extracted from half of the thorax and legs of individual insects using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen) and then stored at -18° C prior to use.

MtDNA sequencing and population-genetic analyses

A 658-bp fragment of the cytochrome oxidase subunit I (COI) gene was amplified in 372 specimens representing all 103 locations (Table S1; Fig. S1, Supporting information), using barcoding primers LepF (5'-ATT CAA CCA ATC ATA AAG ATA TNG G-3') and LepR (5'-TAW ACT TCW GGR TGT CCR AAR AAT CA-3') (modified from Hajibabaei et al. 2006). Additionally, a 382-bp fragment of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers LR-J-13007 and LR-N-13398, according to Szalanski et al. (2008). PCR protocols and bidirectional sequencing of PCR products followed those outlined in Balvín et al. (2012a). Sequence alignments were performed using MAFFT (Katoh et al. 2009). As no incongruence between the two studied genes was detected following a partition homogeneity test (Farris et al. 1995) using PAUP* (Swofford 1999), concatenated alignment of both mtDNA fragments (total 1040 bp) was used for further analyses. A median-joining network was constructed, following the algorithm of Bandelt et al. (1999) and the rationale of Huson et al. (2010), in Network 4.516 (www.fluxus-engineering.com, accessed on 28 May 2013), using default parameters of the program to visualize the data.

Knock-down resistance: partial sequence of the sodium channel gene

Partial or complete *kdr* genotypes were determined across 19 bat-associated and 49 human-associated bed bug collections, that is locations from which \geq 3 specimens were available (Table S1; Fig S2, Supporting information). The methodology followed that outlined by Zhu *et al.* (2010). Fragments were amplified in three individuals from each collection site and PCR products mixed according to the intensity of bands on 2% agarose electrophoresis gels (1x TBE). The PCR products were purified using QIAquick[®] PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen) and sequenced using a BigDye[®] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI PRISM[®] 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) or through a commercial sequencing service (Macrogen Inc.). Chromatograms were aligned using CodonCode Aligner 3.0 (CodonCode Corporation). We scored sequences at two locations known to be associated with *kdr* resistance: amino acid 419 (wild type, <u>G</u>TC = valine; mutation, <u>C</u>TC = leucine) and amino acid 925 (wild type, <u>C</u>TT = leucine; mutation, <u>A</u>TT = isoleucine) (Table S1, Supporting information). Heterozygotes were identified through the presence of overlapping peaks at each specific nucleotide.

Microsatellite DNA genotyping and analyses

Depending on the analysis, samples were divided into two groups: (i) all specimens and (ii) sites containing \geq 7 specimens (human dwellings: n = 55 locations, 525 total specimens; bat roosts: n = 14 locations, 130 total specimens) (Table S1, Supporting information). Samples were genotyped at 20 polymorphic microsatellite loci (*BB6B*, *BB15B*, *BB28B*, *BB31B*, *BB38B*, *BB42B*, *Clec6*, *Clec10*, *Clec11*, *Clec15*, *Clec37*, *Clec45*, *Clec48*, *Clec90*, *Clec91*, *Clec96*, *Clec97*, *Clec98*, *Clec99* and *Clec104*), following protocols outlined by Booth *et al.* (2012).

Prior to population-genetic analysis, MICRO-CHECKER version 2.2.3 software (Van Oosterhout *et al.* 2004) was used to assess the presence of null alleles, scoring error, or large-allele dropout across loci. Summary statistics (mean number of alleles, observed heterozygosity) were calculated using Genetic Data Analysis (GDA) software (Lewis & Zaykin 2001). Hardy–Weinberg exact tests were performed using GENEPOP version 4.0 (Raymond & Rousset 1995; Rousset 2008). Bonferroni correction for multiple tests was applied. The latter two tests were performed on samples for which ≥7 specimens were available, with each specimen being genotyped.

To examine potential genetic structuring between hosts and/or locations, the Bayesian clustering algorithm implemented in STRUCTURE version 2.2.3 (Pritchard et al. 2000) was performed. Under this method, individuals are probabilistically assigned to a given genetic cluster (K) based on the proportion of their genome that matches that cluster. To determine the true K-value, ΔK (Evanno et al. 2005) was implemented. Because of high relatedness of individuals within locations [a consequence of the population establishment process, see Booth et al. (2012)], single specimens from each location were used for STRUCTURE analysis to avoid overestimation of the true K-value (Vonholdt et al. 2010). STRUCTURE was initially run to determine whether host association influenced genetic structure. STRUCTURE analysis was performed using the admixture model with allele frequencies correlated. Runs were based on 200 000 iterations after an initial 50 000 burn-in period of the Markov chain. K was set to from 1 to 5 to account for both host races and then additional clusters to accommodate further substructure that might exist. Each run was replicated three times to check for concordance of the data. ΔK was determined using the STRUCTURE HARVESTER version 0.56.3 software (Earl et al. 2011). Following the identification of K, 10 independent runs were then performed at that optimal ΔK value. Pr matrices generated during each replication run were aligned using the program CLUMPP version 1.1.1 (Jakobsson & Rosenberg 2007) under the GREEDY algorithm with 10 000 random permutations. Following the detection of division based on host species (see Results), STRUCTURE was rerun within each to detect further population subdivision. Parameters followed those described earlier, with K set from 1 to 10. A factorial correspondence analysis (FCA), as implemented in the program GENETIX v4.05.2 (Belkhir et al. 1999), was used to further examine the degree of population substructuring among both host species.

Based on the ΔK value of 2, genetic boundaries between host clusters were determined using GENELAND (Guillot *et al.* 2005). The Bayesian algorithm implemented in the software is based on a geographically constrained model that takes into consideration the spatial location of individuals screened for a number of microsatellite loci. The inference algorithm for the spatial model was run with the following parameters: (i) number of populations set to 2; (ii) number of iterations = 100 000; (iii) thinning = 100; (iv) uncertainty of coordinate = 0.1; and (v) correlated allele frequency model. Consistency of resulting inference was checked by comparing parameter estimates from 20 independent runs of GENELAND. Single specimens randomly chosen from each population were used in this analysis.

Following the determination of the most likely *K*-value, overall and pairwise F_{ST} [θ analogue: (Weir & Cockerham 1984)] and relatedness (r) were calculated in FSTAT v.2.9.3.2 (Goudet 1995). Only locations consisting of \geq 7 individuals were used in these analyses. To test for the presence of private alleles among bat- and human-associated bed bugs, GDA software (Lewis & Zaykin 2001) was used employing all samples.

Results

Mitochondrial network analysis

In total, unambiguous sequences from 99 human-associated specimens across 48 localities and 115 bat-associated specimens from 29 bat roosts were analysed (Fig. 1; Table S1, Supporting information). This represents 57.5% of total samples sequenced. The remaining 42.5% of samples (representing 21.6% of all bat-associated samples and 28.8% of all human-associated samples) revealed ambiguous nucleotide positions, consistent with mitochondrial heteroplasmy (G. A. Robison, O. Balvin, E. L. Vargo, C. Schal & W. Booth, Under Review), evident in both COI and 16S genes. No significant sequence homology was found following a BLAST search of the primers or the amplified products against the bed bug genome (NCBI BioProject PRJNA167477) (performed on 23 September 2014); thus, sequence ambiguities were not considered to result from NUMTs. Ambiguous sequences were therefore excluded from further analysis. On the basis of 28 variable sites in COI and nine in 16S, we resolved 24 haplotypes (Table 1). Evaluation of the distribution of haplotypes between human and bat hosts revealed 15 haplotypes present within individuals collected from bat roosts and 11 within human-associated individual bed bugs. The resulting haplotype network (Fig. 2) revealed two major haplogroups: one of bat-associated bed bugs and one of human-associated bed bugs. Only two haplotypes (H13 and H16) appeared 'misplaced' in the alternate haplogroup, and only two haplotypes within the human haplogroup (H2, H25) were shared between collections from bats and humans.

Knock-down resistance haplotype variation

Complete kdr genotypes were available for 13 bat-associated collections, with additional six collections failing to amplify an unambiguous PCR product at the 419 region for sequencing, despite several attempts. All 13 bat-associated collections exhibited the wild-type form (GTC = valine) at amino acid 419, and also the wildtype form (CTT = leucine) at amino acid 925 (Table S1, Supporting information). From the human-associated collections, a single location exhibited the mutant form at amino acid 419 (CTC = leucine), whereas the remaining 48 possessed the wild-type form. At amino acid 925, however, 45 (92%) exhibited the mutant form (ATT = isoleucine), two exhibited the wild-type form, and two revealed overlapping peaks at this region for both the mutant and the wild-type form, thus informing us that these had a mix of wild-type and mutant specimens within single populations and/or heterozygous individuals (Table S1, Supporting information).

Population-genetic structure and differentiation across Europe

Across the 20 polymorphic microsatellite loci screened, no evidence was found to support the presence of null alleles, scoring error, or large-allele dropout within the data set. Allelic diversity across all samples ranged from 2 to 29 per locus (mean, 12.25) with observed heterozygosity from 0.048 to 0.276 (mean, 0.166) (Table 2). Splitting samples by host association revealed greater allelic diversity and mean observed heterozygosity within the bat-associated samples [bat: 2–26 (mean



Fig. 1 Sampling locations for *C. lectularius.* Brown (dark) icons represent humanassociated samples; blue (light) icons represent bat-associated samples. Circles represent sample sizes of seven or more bed bugs; squares, under seven.

10.5), $H_0 = 0.306$; human: 2–16 (mean 7.5), $H_0 = 0.130$] (Table 2). Private alleles were present in both bat- and human-associated populations, but in general, more were observed in bed bugs collected from bat roosts (average of 4.75 private alleles per locus) than in human-associated samples (1.75 per locus) (Table 2). When samples were separated by host, 9 of 14 (64.3%) bat-associated populations exhibited \geq 4 alleles at one or multiple loci, in contrast to only 1 of 55 (1.81%) humanassociated samples (Table S1, Supporting information). When all samples were combined, significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was observed, suggesting population subdivision existed among the sampled locations. Reanalysis by host type, as supported by STRUCTURE results (below), again revealed significant deviations at all loci in both bat- and human-associated C. lectularius populations, suggesting the existence of further population subdivision.

STRUCTURE analysis produced a ΔK peak at a K = 2 when considering the data set with no *a priori* assumptions of structure. The two clusters cleanly corresponded to the two hosts, bats and humans (Fig. 3). These results were verified following FCA, with samples preferentially clustered with the host from which they were collected (Axis 1 – 18.13%; Axis 2 – 10.11%; Axis 3 – 9.06%). When samples were then grouped following STRUCTURE-assigned clusters, separation was more pronounced (Fig. 4). Results from GENELAND, which can detect population structure in relation to geographic

and genetic information, supported the existence of two main genetic clusters associated with bats and humans. Members of these genetic clusters showed no clear geographic associations, and instead exhibited a patchy distribution (Fig. S3, Supporting information), with members of each of the two genetic clusters existing in close geographic proximity to each other.

Overall F_{ST} was 0.683 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.664-0.701]. Within samples collected from bat roosts alone, overall F_{ST} was 0.468 (95% CI 0.423-0.519), and from samples collected from human dwellings F_{ST} was calculated as 0.718 (95% CI 0.700-0.734). Given the lack of overlap in 95% CIs of F_{ST} values, populations associated with humans were significantly more differentiated than their bat-associated counterparts. Relatedness values within populations followed a comparable trend with overall r estimated as 0.778 (95% CI 0.763–0.792). Within bat roosts alone r was 0.590 (95% CI 0.547-0.633), whereas within human dwellings r equalled 0.805 (95% CI 0.789-0.821), indicating that individuals within human-associated populations were significantly more related than those within bat-associated populations.

Discussion

The present study supports the existence of two hostassociated races in *C. lectularius* based on significant genetic divergence of populations on two sympatric

		Ģ	1/0	Nu	cleoti	de pc	sition	s															
Haplotype	Host A	Accession #	Accession #	25	34	37	112	244 2	65 27	77 289	9 293	302	322	331	343	346	352 3	367 3	91 43	3 442	475	481	493
H2	Bat/ G Human	JU985525.1	KJ937974	Α	Г	G	Г	Г U	U	U	U	A	U	U	U	υ	U	0 0	A	U	U	A	A
H3	Bat G	;U985526.1	K1937969			A										E							
H4	Bat K	(1937979	Kl937969			A										Ē		•					
H6	Human G	U985526.1	K1937974					H										•					
H7	Bat G	3U985526.1	KJ937971			A										F		•					
H8	Bat K	(1937983	KJ937969	•		A		•	•	-	•					Г		•					
H10	Bat K	(1937980	KJ937969			A			•							Г		•			А		
H11	Human K	(1937988	KJ937976			A		•					A					T A					
H13	Human K	(1937989	KJ937969			A		•		A								•	G				
H14	Human G	3U985523.1	KJ937975			A		•	•				A					•					
H15	Bat K	(1937986	KJ937969	U		A		•	•							Г		•					
H16	Bat K	(1937987	KJ937968		υ	A		•	F								A	•					
H17	Bat K	(1937980	KJ937970			A			•							Г		•	•		A		
H18	Bat G	GU985526.1	KJ937972			A			•							F		•	•				
H19	Bat K	(1937985	KJ937969			A		•								г		•		Г			
H22	Human K	(1937990	KJ937974					•		•								•	•				G
H23	Bat K	(1937981	KJ937969			A			•							F		•	•				
H24	Bat G	GU985526.1	KJ937973			V			•							Г		•					
H25	Bat/ G	GU985525.1	KJ937977					•	•									•					
	Human																						
H26	Human K	(1937991	KJ937974					H				U						•				U	
H28	Human K	(1937984	KJ937978			A	U	•	•		A			A	Г	E	A	•			A		
H35	Human K	(1937992	KJ937974					•	•			IJ						•				IJ	
H36	Human G	5U985525.1	KJ937968					•	•									•					
H37	Bat K	cJ937982	KJ937969			A		•	•							Г		•	•				
							ucleot	ide po	sitions														
Haplotype	Host	COI Acces	ion # 16S .	Access	ion #	5]	-	536	547	595	625	628	3 68	3 02	43 &	348	849	883	903	932	2 10	07	1008
H2	Bat/Human	GU985525.	1 KJ93	(7974		A		0	Г	F	IJ	U	A			0	A	υ	U	U	U		V
H3	Bat	GU985526.	1 KJ93	6962		•	-			U		A	U	ł							•		
H4	Bat	KJ937979	KJ93	6962		•				U	A	A	U	ł									
H6	Human	GU985526.	1 KJ93	7974		•	-							•	•								
H7	Bat	GU985526.	1 KJ93	12971		•	-			U		A	G	ł						Н	•		
H8	Bat	KJ937983	KJ93	6962		•	•						G	ł		_				•			
H10	Bat	KJ937980	KJ93	6962		•	-			U		A	U	ł						•	•		
H11	Human	KJ937988	KJ93	87976		•								·		_			A				

© 2015 John Wiley & Sons Ltd

				Nucle	eotide p	ositions												
Haplotype	Host	COI Accession #	16S Accession #	511	536	547	595	625	628	680	843	848	849	883	903	932	1007	1008
H13	Human	KJ937989	KJ937969			U	U			U	A							
H14	Human	GU985523.1	KJ937975												A			
H15	Bat	KJ937986	KJ937969							IJ	A							
H16	Bat	KJ937987	KJ937968	IJ						IJ								
H17	Bat	KJ937980	KJ937970				U		A	IJ	A				A			
H18	Bat	GU985526.1	KJ937972				U		A	IJ	A		IJ					
H19	Bat	KJ937985	KJ937969							IJ	A							
H22	Human	KJ937990	KJ937974															
H23	Bat	KJ937981	KJ937969		Г		U		A	IJ	A							
H24	Bat	GU985526.1	KJ937973				U		A	IJ	A		IJ	Г				
H25	Bat/Human	GU985525.1	KJ937977														A	
H26	Human	KJ937991	KJ937974															
H28	Human	KJ937984	KJ937978					A		IJ								IJ
H35	Human	KJ937992	KJ937974															
H36	Human	GU985525.1	KJ937968							IJ								
H37	Bat	KJ937982	KJ937969				C		A	IJ	A							

Fable 1 Continued

host species; all three genetic marker classes screened support this conclusion, as does a previous morphometric analysis (Balvín et al. 2012a). The mtDNA network appears essentially identical to that of Balvín et al. (2012a), showing two partially overlapping clades with bed bugs separated by host race (Fig. 2). In addition to the shared haplotype (H2) reported by Balvín et al. (2012a), we identified a second shared haplotype (H25), differing from H2 at a single nucleotide. STRUCTURE (Fig. 3) and FCA (Fig. 4) analyses of microsatellite data support our conclusion that contemporary gene flow between the host races is negligible. Geographic isolation can be excluded as a factor promoting reproductive segregation given the broad geographic overlap of samples collected (Figs 1, S3, Supporting information). When no a priori information was considered (i.e. host type or geographic location), samples clustered preferentially by host association, with two exceptions. These exceptions were human-associated bed bugs that aligned with the bat-associated cluster with greater than 60% genetic affiliation (Fig. 3). These samples shared mtDNA haplotype H2, one of two found commonly in both bat- and human-associated C. lectularius. Additionally, one sample exhibited a mixed kdr haplotype with profiles found primarily in association with bats, and the other in association with humans. These infrequent exceptions to host race differentiation may represent evidence of recent introgression, ancestrally shared alleles, homoplasy, or quite possibly incorrect assignment of dispersing bed bugs to the proper host. Regardless, it appears that C. lectularius parasitizing humans are following an evolutionary trajectory essentially independent of those found to parasitize sympatric bats.

The genetic data presented here lend support to the hypothesis that the ancestral host of C. lectularius was bats, with one or more human lineages diverging following the movement of humans out of shared cave domiciles (Usinger 1966). Subsequent divergence of the founding human-associated populations can be reinforced through selection for specialization on humans, as suggested for triatomine bugs (Schofield et al. 1999). Significantly greater allelic diversity was observed in the bat-associated populations, despite the larger sample size of the human-associated populations. Bed bugs derived from bat roosts were also found to have approximately 2.7 times more private alleles than bugs associated with humans. Combined, these results suggest an ancestral genetic bottleneck in the humanassociated lineage, which might be expected following divergence of a small founder population during host transition (Mayr 1963). Indeed, recent independent genetic analyses of human-associated C. lectularius populations in the United States and United Kingdom



Fig. 2 Haplotype network of human- and bat-associated bed bug samples based on concatenated mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I and 16S rRNA gene sequences (total length 1040 bp).

support the idea that infestations are founded by small propagules (Saenz *et al.* 2012; Fountain *et al.* 2014). Thus, when viewed in the context of a host shift, the isolation of a small founding propagule during the emigration of humans from caves that they co-inhabited with bats might be expected to produce such a pattern.

In addition to the genetic divergence observed between host-associated lineages, our data provide a contrasting picture regarding infestation dynamics of the two host races in Europe. From European humanassociated bed bugs, we see complementary patterns to those reported in the United States - four or fewer alleles per microsatellite locus - suggesting population establishment through the introduction of a single male and single female, singly mated female, or highly related and inbred individuals (Booth et al. 2012; Saenz et al. 2012; Fountain et al. 2014). Only a single sample (1.81%) exhibited more than four alleles (five alleles at a single locus). Bat-associated C. lectularius, in contrast, exhibited ≥4 alleles at several loci in 64.3% of sampled locations (9 of 14). The genetically depauperate nature of the human-associated populations may also provide insight into the stability of bed bug populations. Population establishment, high population turnover and extinction events are expected to be common with little opportunity for population admixture, likely due to human-mediated movement and frequent interventions through pest control. Thus, human-associated bed bugs appear to live in highly structured metapopulations. In contrast, C. lectularius populations within bat roosts may be expected to be more stable, albeit with temporal fluctuations due to weather events, bug mortality and host dispersal, with multiple introduction events resulting from the latter. Similar to what has previously been

reported (Booth *et al.* 2012; Saenz *et al.* 2012), relatedness estimates suggest significant inbreeding events are common in *C. lectularius*; however, the greater allelic diversity present within bat-associated populations results in lower relatedness values within this group.

Results from screening kdr-associated mutations provide a somewhat contrasting picture to that previously reported in human-associated C. lectularius. Approximately 85% of C. lectularius samples collected across the east coast and south-central United States were found to possess either one or both of the kdr target-site mutations [haplotypes B, C and D according to (Zhu et al. 2010)]. Similarly, 95.9% of bed bugs we collected from human-associated populations in Europe possessed either one or both of the kdr mutations, with haplotype B also the most common (wild-type valine at position 419, leucine to isoleucine mutation at position 925). Bed bugs possessing both mutations (haplotype C) appear underrepresented in the European samples (2%) compared to US samples [41%, (Zhu et al. 2010)]. Intriguingly, all bat lineages of C. lectularius exhibited wildtype amino acids at both positions (haplotype A), which is exceptionally rare among human-associated populations in the United States and in our human-associated European samples. Extensive use of DDT and pyrethroid insecticides within human-built structures was likely selected for kdr mutations (Usinger 1966; Snetsinger 1997), thus supporting a lack of contemporary gene flow between these two host races over the last ~60 years. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the kdr haplotype observed in bat-associated samples represents the natural, ancestral haplotype, whereas the human-associated haplotype has been subject to anthropogenic selection with synthetic insecticides.

988 W. BOOTH ET AL.

Table 2	Host-associated	locus summar	y statistics
---------	-----------------	--------------	--------------

	Number	of alleles				Observed	l heterozygosi	ty
		Bat		Human				
Locus	All	Observed	Unique	Observed	Unique	All	Bat	Human
BB28B	23	20	8	15	3	0.203	0.43	0.147
BB38B	9	8	3	6	1	0.170	0.288	0.140
BB31B	24	21	11	13	3	0.229	0.466	0.168
Clec11	6	5	2	4	1	0.235	0.359	0.204
Clec6	2	2	0	2	0	0.048	0.023	0.054
BB42B	20	16	8	12	4	0.227	0.393	0.184
Clec37	8	8	3	5	0	0.156	0.389	0.097
BB15B	29	26	13	16	3	0.191	0.45	0.121
Clec48	3	3	1	2	0	0.098	0.383	0.025
Clec45	3	2	0	3	1	0.095	0.142	0.083
Clec90	12	10	5	7	2	0.201	0.200	0.202
Clec91	11	8	2	9	3	0.102	0.111	0.100
Clec96	14	11	7	7	3	0.142	0.358	0.084
Clec97	8	8	3	5	0	0.197	0.275	0.180
Clec98	14	12	7	7	2	0.220	0.396	0.175
Clec99	8	4	0	8	4	0.203	0.168	0.203
Clec104	13	11	6	7	2	0.092	0.297	0.040
Clec105	20	19	12	8	1	0.276	0.477	0.225
BB6B	16	14	4	12	2	0.111	0.197	0.089
Clec15	2	2	0	2	0	0.121	0.318	0.069
Mean	12.25	10.5	4.75	7.5	1.75	0.166	0.306	0.13

Additionally, our results may shed light on the current global resurgence of *C. lectularius* among humans. The *kdr* profiles of the European populations were different from those in the United States, and thus, it is possible that neither population serves as a significant contemporary source for the other.

While evidence for differentiation is apparent by host race, structure based on geographic region is absent within both host lineages. As bat-associated bed bugs had been collected from the roosts of multiple bat species (see Table S1, Supporting information for a list of species), the sample size did not permit a species-specific analysis; bed bugs from all bat species sampled did however cluster within the bat-associated lineage, but no evidence for further host-associated differentiation among bat species was evident. Furthermore, multispecies roosts were present within the collections. The absence of any discernible population structure within these samples may reflect the movement of bats among roosts, especially in mixed species overwintering roosts (Rehak & Gaisler 1999; Smirnov et al. 2007). Although mark-recapture studies with Myotis myotis reveal female philopatry (Horáček 1985; Berková et al. 2013), parasite infestation can cause host dispersal behaviour (Moore 2013), and switching roosts has been shown to reduce the load of Cimex spp. in bats of the genus Pipi*strellus* (Bartonička & Gaisler 2007; Bartonička & Růžičková 2012, 2013). In human-associated bed bug, no geographic structure was evident. This lack of structure within *C. lectularius* derived from human dwellings has previously been reported in samples collected in the eastern USA (Saenz *et al.* 2012) and the UK (Fountain *et al.* 2014) and likely results from extensive human-mediated movement.

The best documented studies of host race formation come from phytophagous insects [e.g. see Mullen & Shaw (2014) for a recent review]. For example, following the introduction of domesticated apples, the apple maggot fly Rhagoletis pomonella host-shifted from hawthorn to apple, with changes in oviposition preferences, mating behaviours and host fidelity resulting in disruption of reproduction between host-associated strains, as detected using molecular markers (Feder et al. 1988). Surprisingly, however, little evidence is available regarding host-associated genetic differentiation of animal parasites. A recent worldwide population-genetic study of Aedes aegypti mosquitoes using polymorphic microsatellites from 24 populations in 13 countries concluded that one genetic cluster included all domestic (anthropophilic) mosquito populations outside Africa and a divergent cluster included both domestic and forest (zoophilic) populations within



Fig. 3 STRUCTURE plots depicting ΔK . Coloured bars represent the proportion membership of each individual bed bug to one of two genetic clusters (K = 2). Blue (light) cluster sampled from bat roosts; brown (dark) cluster represents bed bugs associated with humans.

Fig. 4 Results of factorial correspondence analysis showing genetic differentiation based on microsatellite allele frequencies for individual *C. lectularius* collections sampled across Europe. Samples clustered by host: brown (dark) squares represent human-associated samples; blue (light) squares represent bat-associated bed bugs.

Africa (Brown et al. 2011). An African origin for ancestral Ae. aegypti populations was supported by higher genetic diversity (heterozygosity and private allelic richness) in Africa than outside of Africa. Moreover, the results suggested two domestication (host shift to humans) events: one in Africa and a second domesticated form spread outside of Africa (Brown et al. 2011). We document a similar genetic divergence of C. lectularius into zoophilic and domestic/anthropophilic lineages that cluster well with morphometric differentiation, host association, host preferences and host fidelity. Whereas African populations of Ae. aegypti differentiate along an ecological landscape into forest and urban forms, the two C. lectularius races may have the potential to coexist sympatrically in very close proximity within the same building, but with no apparent gene flow between them. Although we have no evidence that both races currently coexist, the persistent resurgence of humanassociated bed bugs in Europe escalates the potential for their co-occurrence, as populations increase in size and their European distribution widens.

The presence of strong genetic differentiation between bed bug host races in the absence of geographic separation may identify *C. lectularius* as a unique model system for the study of sympatric speciation and the landscape ecology of potential pathogen transmission. Whereas similarly investigated parasitic species are generally highly mobile and live outdoors, or have relatively large outdoor reservoirs (McCoy et al. 2003; Kempf et al. 2009, 2011), C. lectularius lives strictly 'indoors' in tight dependence on its host for both feeding and dispersal. Given that bats frequently roost within human-built structures, the two C. lectularius host races have the potential to occur very near to each other and to their respective hosts. As in other systems of host race formation, in instances where both races coexist, reproductive and ecological isolation may potentially be promoted between the C. lectularius lineages because of conceivably strong selection against migrants. Bats and humans have opposite diel (day: night) activity patterns, so a host shift could affect the ability of bed bugs to feed undetected. Migration across host lineages may further be selected against by

reduced preference to feed on the alternative host, and lower viability of bed bugs who feed on alternative host blood, as demonstrated under laboratory conditions by Wawrocka & Bartonička (2013). Ultimately, morphological differentiation associated with sensory, feeding and dispersal behaviours, as observed between these two *C. lectularius* lineages (Balvín *et al.* 2012a), is likely to promote host fidelity and inhibit mixing between the host races.

Finally, this unique system should facilitate investigations into phenotypic and genotypic changes that adapt ectoparasite populations to anthropophilic habits, as well as the potential for pathogen transmission between alternative hosts (e.g. bats, birds) and humans. We hypothesize that the ancestral association with and preadaptation to bats may facilitate a re-association of some human-adapted bed bugs with bats, and even a broadening of their host range to include both hosts. While we have no current evidence of the coexistence of both races within the same building, the current patterns of resurgence across Europe along with instability of the humanbuilt environment (host availability, pest interventions), compared to natural bat roosts, may further facilitate some spatial mixing between these two host races. Because bat-associated bugs could be differentially competent to harbour and transmit pathogens, these commensal interactions should be further investigated.

Acknowledgements

We thank all who contributed to the collecting of material used in the study. Unfortunately, they are too numerous to be mentioned. This study was supported by grants of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic no. SVV-2013-267 201 and the Grant Agency of Charles University no. 2010/45610 to OB, and by AFRI Competitive grant 2008-35302-04565 from USDA-NIFA, HUD grant NCHHU-0017-13, a grant from the National Pest Management Foundation, and OCAST grant HR13-211 to WB, ELV and CS. We thank Zachary DeVries and three anonymous reviewers for their comments that improved the quality of this manuscript.

References

- Als TD, Nash DR, Boomsma JJ (2002) Geographical variation in host-ant specificity of the parasitic butterfly *Maculinea alcon* in Denmark. *Ecological Entomology*, 27, 403–414.
- Arbogast BS, Edwards SV, Wakeley J, Beerli P, Slowinski JP (2002) Estimating divergence times from molecular data on phylogenetic and population genetic timescales. *Annual Review Ecology Systematics*, **33**, 707–740.
- Avise JC (2000) Phylogeography: The History and Formation of Species. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts.
- Balvín O, Munclinger P, Kratochvil L, Vilimova J (2012a) Mitochondrial DNA and morphology show independent evolutionary histories of bedbug *Cimex lectularius* (Heteroptera:

Cimicidae) on bats and humans. Parasitology Research, 111, 457-469.

- Balvín O, Ševčík M, Jahelková H *et al.* (2012b) Transport of bugs of the genus *Cimex* (Heteroptera: Cimicidae) by bats in western Palaearctic. *Vespertilio*, **16**, 43–54.
- Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Rohl A (1999) Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 16, 37–48.
- Bartonička T, Gaisler J (2007) Seasonal dynamics in the number of parasitic bugs (Heteroptera, Cimicidae): a possible cause of roost switching in bats (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae). Parasitology Research, 100, 1323–1330.
- Bartonička T, Růžičková L (2012) Bat bugs (*Cimex pipistrelli*) and their impact on non-dwelling bats. *Parasitology Research*, 111, 1233–1238.
- Bartonička T, Růžičková L (2013) Recolonization of bat roost by bat bugs (*Cimex pipistrelli*): could parasite load be a cause of bat roost switching? *Parasitology Research*, **112**, 1615–1622.
- Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F (1999) GENETIX 4.05, Windows TM software for population genetics (ed. Laboratoire Ge'nome P, Interactions, CNRS UMR 5000). Universite' Montpellier II, France.
- Berková H, Pokorný M, Zukal J (2013) Population Ecology of the Greater Mouse-eared Bat in Reproduction and Post Reproduction Period. Ústav biologie obratlovců AV ČR, Zoologické dny, Czech Republic.
- Boase CJ (2001) Bedbugs: back from the brink. *Pesticide Outlook*, **12**, 159–162.
- Booth W, Saenz VL, Santangelo RG, Schal C, Vargo EL (2012) Molecular markers reveal infestation dynamics of the bed bug *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) within apartment buildings. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, 49, 535–546.
- Brown JE, McBride CS, Johnson P *et al.* (2011) Worldwide patterns of genetic differentiation imply multiple 'domestications' of *Aedes aegypti*, a major vector of human diseases. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, **278**, 2446–2454.
- Coyne JA, Orr HA (2004) *Speciation*. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Dres M, Mallet J (2002) Host races in plant-feeding insects and their importance in sympatric speciation. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **357**, 471–492.
- Earl DA, vonHoldt BM, Bridgett M (2011) STRUCTURE HAR-VESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUC-TURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetics Resources*, **4**, 359–361.
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, **14**, 2611–2620.
- Farris JS, Kallersjo M, Kluge AG, Bult C (1995) Testing significance of incongruence. *Cladistics*, **10**, 315–319.
- Feder JL, Chilcote CA, Bush GL (1988) Genetic differentiation between sympatric host races of the apple maggot fly *Rhagoletis pomonella*. *Nature*, **336**, 61–64.
- Fountain T, Duvaux L, Horsburgh G, Reinhardt K, Butlin RK (2014) Human-facilitated metapopulation dynamics in an emerging pest species, *Cimex lectularius*. *Molecular Ecology*, 23, 1071–1084.
- Goudet J (1995) Fstat version 1.2: a computer program to calculate F-statistics. *Journal of Heredity*, 86, 485–486.
- Guillot GM, Mortier F, Estoup A (2005) Geneland: a program for landscape genetics. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, **5**, 712–715.

- Hajibabaei M, Janzen DH, Burns JM, Hallwachs W, Hebert PDN (2006) DNA barcodes distinguish species of tropical Lepidoptera. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA, 103, 968–971.
- Henry TJ (2009) *Biodiversity of Heteroptera*. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, UK.
- Horáček I (1985) Population ecology of *Myotis myotis* in central Bohemia (Mammalia: Chiroptera). Acta Universitatis Carolinae - Biologica, 1981, 161–267.
- Horváth G (1913) La distribution géographique des cimicides et l'origine des punaises des lits, 294–299. In: *Éxtrait du IXe Congres International de Zoologie.* 25–30 March, Monaco (ed. Joubin L), pp. 928. Monaco.
- Huson DH, Rupp R, Scornavacca C (2010) *Phylogenetic Networks*. Cambridge Publisher, Cambridge.
- Jakobsson M, Rosenberg N (2007) CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics*, **23**, 1801–1806.
- Katoh K, Asimenos G, Toh H (2009) Multiple alignment of DNA sequences with MAFFT. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 537, 39–64.
- Kempf F, Boulinier T, De Meeu T, Arnathau C, McCoy KD (2009) Recent evolution of host-associated divergence in the seabird tick *Ixodes uriae*. *Molecular Ecology*, **18**, 4450–4462.
- Kempf F, De Meeus T, Vaumourin E et al. (2011) Host races in Ixodes ricinus, the European vector of Lyme borreliosis. Infection, Genetics and Evolution, 11, 2043–2048.
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic data analysis computer program for the analysis of allelic data computer program. (http://hydrodictyon.eeb.uconn.edu/people/plewis/software. php).
- Marchetti K, Nakamura H, Gibbs HL (1998) Host-race formation in the Common Cuckoo. *Science*, **282**, 471–472.
- Mayr E (1963) Animal Species and Evolution. Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- McCoy KD, Tirard C, Michalakis Y (2003) Spatial genetic structure of the ectoparasite *Ixodes uriae* within breeding cliffs of its colonial seabird host. *Heredity*, **91**, 422–429.
- Mehlhorn H (2008) *Encyclopedia of Parasitology*, 3rd edn. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Moore J (2013) An overview of parasite-induced behavioral alterations and some lessons from bats. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, **216**, 11–17.
- Mullen SP, Shaw KL (2014) Insect speciation rules: unifying concepts in speciation research. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 59, 339–361.
- Panagiotakopulu E, Buckland PC (1999) *Cimex lectularius* L., the common bed bug from Pharaonic Egypt. *Antiquity*, **73**, 908–911.
- Pinto LJ, Cooper R, Kraft SK (2007) Bed Bug Handbook: The Complete Guide to Bed Bugs and Their Control. Pinto & Associates Inc, Mechanicsville, Maryland.
- Poulin R (2007) *Evolutionary Ecology of Parasites*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics*, 155, 945–959.
- Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) Genepop (version 1.2) population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, **86**, 248–249.

- Rehak Z, Gaisler J (1999) Long-term changes in the number of bats in the largest manmade hibernaculum of the Czech Republic. *Acta Chiropterologica*, **1**, 113–123.
- Reinhardt K, Siva-Jothy MT (2007) Biology of the bed bugs (Cimicidae). *Annual Review of Entomology*, **52**, 351–374.
- Rousset F (2008) Genepop '007: a complete reimplementation of the Genepop software for Windows and Linux. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, **8**, 103–106.
- Saenz VL, Booth W, Schal C, Vargo EL (2012) Genetic analysis of bed bug populations reveals small propagule size within individual infestations but high genetic diversity across infestations from the eastern United States. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **49**, 865–875.
- Schofield CJ, Diotaiuti L, Dujardin JP (1999) The process of domestication in Triatominae. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro*, 94, 375–378.
- Smirnov DG, Vekhnik VP, Kurmaeva NM, Shepelev AA, Il'in VY (2007) Species structure and dynamics of bat communities (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) hibernating in artificial caves of Samara Luka. *Biology Bulletin*, 34, 507–516.
- Snetsinger R (1997) *Bed Bugs & other Bugs.* Franzak & Foster Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
- Swofford DL (1999) PAUP* Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony; Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Szalanski AL, Austin JW, McKern JA, Steelman CD, Gold RE (2008) Mitochondrial and ribosomal internal transcribed spacer 1 diversity of *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **45**, 229–236.
- Usinger RL (1966) *Monograph of Cimicidae*. Entomological Society of America, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 4, 535–538.
- Vonholdt BM, Stahler DR, Bangs EE et al. (2010) A novel assessment of population structure and gene flow in grey wolf populations of the Northern Rocky Mountains of the United States. *Molecular Ecology*, **19**, 4412–4427.
- Wawrocka K, Bartonička T (2013) Host specificity of bed bugs (*Cimex lectularius*). In: *Zoologické dny* (eds Bryja J, Řehák Z., Zukal J), pp. 250–251. Ústav biologie obratlovců AV ČR, Brno, Czech Republic.
- Weir BS, Cockerham CC (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. *Evolution*, **38**, 1358–1370.
- Yoon KS, Kwon DH, Strycharz JP *et al.* (2008) Biochemical and molecular analysis of deltamethrin resistance in the common bed bug (Hemiptera: Cimicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **45**, 1092–1101.
- Zhu F, Wigginton J, Romero A *et al.* (2010) Widespread distribution of knockdown resistance mutations in the bed bug, *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae), populations in the United States. Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology, 73, 245–257.

W.B. and O.B. designed and performed the research and analysed the data. W.B., O.B., J.V. and E.L.V. supplied the reagents. W.B., O.B., E.L.V. and C.S. wrote the manuscript.

Data accessibility

DNA sequences: GenBank Accession nos for mtDNA haplotypes at COI and 16S are available in Table S1 (Supporting information). Concatenated sequences can be found at Dryad doi: 10.5061/dryad.qf53d. *kdr* genotypes from pooled samples are listed in Table S1 (Supporting information). Microsatellite genotypes: Complete microsatellite genotypes, factorial correspondence analysis genetic input file (GENEPOP format), and GENELAND genotype and geographic coordinate files are available at Dryad doi: 10.5061/dryad.qf53d.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Fig. S1 European sampled locations of *C. lectularius* that were screened for mtDNA.

Fig. S2 European sampled locations of *C. lectularius* that were screened for *kdr*-associated mutations.

Fig. S3 GENELAND generated map of posterior probabilities of population membership (based on microsatellite data) and spatial locations of genetic discontinuities for populations of *C. lectularius* associated with humans (top) and bats (bottom).

Table S1 Sample collection information for *C. lectularius* collected on two alternative hosts, humans and bats.





Human 60 55 50 45

Longitude



Latitude

										kdr		Micros	atellite
Sample ID	Sample size	Location	Location Co- ordinates	Structure	Host	Host species	mtDN	JA	V419L	L925I	Composite haplotype	< 7	≥ 7
072	1	Czech Republic	48°53'42.044"N, 15°48'49.281"E	Unknown	Bat	Unknown	GU985525.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
074	2	Czech Republic	50°5'33.198"N,1 6°56'47.538"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
077	2	Czech Republic	48°54'11.99"N,1 6°14'37.03"E	Church	Bat	Epthescicus serotinus	KJ937983	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
105	10	Czech Republic	50°0'6.315"N,16 °10'27.753"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	KJ937979	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А		×*
173	10	Czech Republic	48°48'33.08"N,1 5°58'10.75"E	Gamekeeper house	Bat	unknown	GU985525.1 KJ937980	KJ937974 KJ937969	Val	Leu	А		×*
401	9	Czech Republic	49°31'50.896"N, 17°30'15.72"E	Castle	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985525.1	KJ937974	N/A	Leu	?		×*
402	5	Czech Republic	48°33'18.134"N, 8°58'4.227"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
403	5	Czech Republic	49°42'39.895"N, 16°58'32.831"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А	×	
404	2	Czech Republic	50°30'7.93"N,14 °23'18.019"E	Attic of apartment building	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
405	2	Czech Republic	50°35'21.444"N, 13°50'42.698"E	Attic of apartment building	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985525.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
406	10	Czech Republic	50°32'27.274"N, 14°32'26.715"E	Attic of apartment building	Bat	Myotis myotis	KJ937983	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А		×
407	5	Czech Republic	50°33'53.413"N, 14°39'9.752"E	Castle	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985525.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
408	10	Czech Republic	48°25'31.466"N, 20°29'40.705"E	Church	Bat	Myotis emarginatus	N/A	N/A	Val	Leu	А		×*
414	1	Czech Republic	48°51'35.904"N, 16°29'8.593"E	School	Bat	Unknown	GU985526.1	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
417	5	Czech Republic	49°42'39.895"N, 16°58'32.831"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
418	10	Czech Republic	49°45'25.839"N, 16°58'8.295"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	KJ937983	KJ937969	N/A	Leu	?		×*
086	2	Switzerla nd	47°21'52.40"N,7 °09'13.36"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
425	9	Switzerla nd	47°21'52.40"N,7 °09'13.36"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1 KJ937983	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А		×*
426	1	France	46°33'26.54"N,4 °44'04.75"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
427	2	France	46°59'22.27"N,3 °44'25.12"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	KJ937983	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
117	11	Germany	48°34'40.6"N8°5 3'04.4"E	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1	KJ937971	N/A	Leu	?		×*
118	7	Germany	48°33'14.3"N8°5	Church	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985526.1	KJ937971	N/A	Leu	?		×*

Table S1. Sample collection information for *C. lectularius* collected on two alternative hosts, humans and bats.

			8'18.6"E										
131	3	Germany	51°09'43.34"N,9 °51'38.88"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	KJ937981	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А	×	
502	2	Finland	60°15'3.902"N,2 1°23'59.091"E	Summer cottage	Bat	?	GU985526.1	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А	×	
132	8	Serbia	45°07'18.34"N,2 1°18'00.50"E	Church	Bat	Myotis emarginatus + Rhinolophus spp	GU985526.1	KJ937969	Val	Leu	А		×
133	7	Serbia	44°51'18.34"N,2 1°04'00.50"E	Church	Bat	Myotis emarginatus + Rhinolophus spp	KJ937987	KJ937968	Val	Leu	А		×
409	5	Hungary	48°24'17.982"N, 20°31'28.402"E	Chapel	Bat	Myotis emarginatus	N/A	N/A	N/A	Leu	?	×	
410	1	Hungary	48°26'58.729"N, 20°44'6.136"E	Church	Bat	?	GU985526.1	KJ937972	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
412	10	Hungary	48°29'33.852"N, 20°53'17.084"E	Church	Bat	?	KJ937980	KJ937970	Val	Leu	А		×*
413	1	Hungary	48°32'29.778"N, 20°48'16.614"E	Church	Bat	Epthesicus serotinus	KJ937985	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
415	1	Slovakia	48°41'2.734"N,1 8°31'29.552"E	?	Bat	?	GU985526.1	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
420	1	Slovakia	48°25'11.493"N, 18°24'42.122"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
421	10	Slovakia	48°39'26.557"N, 20°35'44.323"E 48°43'59.741"N, 21°20'45.238"E	? ?	Bat	Myotis emarginatus	GU985526.1 KJ937980	KJ937973 KJ937970	Val	Leu	А		×
422	1	Slovakia	48°34'15.579"N, 20°51'19.873"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	GU985525.1	KJ937977	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
423	9	Slovakia	48°40'59.460"N, 20°58'1.165"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	KJ937980	KJ937970	N/A	Leu	?		×
424	6	Slovakia	51°32'03.04"N,2 8°06'49.12"E	?	Bat	Myotis myotis	N/A	N/A	Val	Leu	А	×	
428	1	Ukraine	50°4'30.67"N,14 °24'58.48"E	?	Bat	Pipistrellus auritus	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
165	4	Czech Republic	49°55'0.213"N,1 8°20'13.861"E	Student lodgings	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
510	7	Czech Republic	49°54'28.049"N, 18°20'59.96"E	Building	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
511	3	Czech Republic	49°49'27.41"N,1 8°10'33.869"E	Building	Human	/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
512	5	Czech Republic	49°51'7.34"N,18 °33'42.724"E	Building	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
513	10	Czech Republic	49°49'32.232"N, 18°11'4.073"E	Building	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
514	10	Czech Republic	49°49'53.782"N, 18°7'21.249"E	Building	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Leu/Iso	A/B		×
515	10	Czech Republic	49°54'34.723"N, 18°20'38.606"E	Family house	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Leu	А		×
516	10	Czech Republic	49°47'6.139"N,1 8°15'28.246"E	Building	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×

517	6	Czech Republic	49°47'37.646"N, 18°24'18.269"E	Building	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
518	1	Czech Republic	49°54'7.422"N,1 8°20'48.601"E	Building	Human	/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
519	10	Czech Republic	49°50'45.641"N, 18°10'51.645"E	Family house	Human	/	GU985525.1 GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
520	2	Czech Republic	49°49'50.613"N, 18°9'58.488"E	Building	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
521	8	Czech Republic	49°53'33.883"N, 18°21'38.407"E	Building	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
523	10	Czech Republic	49°51'0.643"N,1 8°25'12.189"E	Building	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
524	9	Czech Republic	49°50'29.695"N, 18°15'45.401"E	Building	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
525	10	Czech Republic	49°53'34.029"N, 18°21'34.494"E	Building	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
526	9	Czech Republic	49°51'54.812"N, 18°32'21.259"E	Building	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
527	5	Czech Republic	49°54'4.017"N,1 8°21'4.988"E	Building	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
529	10	Czech Republic	48°58'56.594"N, 16°9'3.209"E	Building	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
601	5	Czech Republic	50°6'12.885"N,1 4°28'24.222"E	School	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
605	10	Czech Republic	49°59'15.014"N, 17°27'52.004"E	Building	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	Val	Iso	В		×
609	7	Czech Republic	50°29'5.998"N,1 3°25'58.033"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
611	7	Czech Republic	50°45'21.888"N, 15°4'13.476"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
614	10	Czech Republic	50°29'50.682"N, 13°26'2.074"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
615	10	Czech Republic	50°42'12.025"N, 14°48'2.560"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
618	10	Czech Republic	49°45'39.941"N, 13°21'6.819"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
621	8	Czech Republic	49°57'57.534"N, 16°58'34.141"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	Val	Iso	В		×
623	8	Czech Republic	49°43'54.775"N, 13°24'14.903"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
624	9	Czech Republic	49°43'54.775"N, 13°24'14.903"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	Val	Iso	В		×
625	10	Czech Republic	50°35'27.839"N, 13°33'42.587"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	Val	Iso	В		×
632	10	Czech Republic	49°5'38.352"N,1 5°53'35.149"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
633	10	Czech Republic	49°43'24.722"N, 13°22'29.945"E	?	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937977	Val	Iso	В		×
634	10	Czech Republic	50°30'26.214"N, 13°38'26.429"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×

637	1	Czech Republic	49°43'54.775"N, 13°24'14 903"F	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
		Czech	50°4'1 464"N 14										
640	10	Republic	°23'39.288"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	Val	Iso	В		×
(11	0	Czech	50°5'16.067"N,1	ō		,	CU 100552 (1	1/1027074	37.1	T	D		
641	9	Republic	4°25'21.412"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ93/9/4	vai	ISO	В		×
(12	10	Czech	48°59'23.880"N,	9		1	CU1095525 1	1/10270/9	¥7-1	T	р		
643	10	Republic	14°30'18.937"E	!	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ93/908	vai	150	В		×
645	10	Czech	50°36'19.273"N,	9	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	в		~
045	10	Republic	13°37'15.020"E	-	munnan	/	11/74	11/71	v ai	150	Б		~
650	6	Czech	50°30'8.792"N,1	Hostel	Human	/	GU985526 1	K 1937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
050	Ū	Republic	3°38'13.743"E	Höster	Traman	,	66765526.1	10/5///1	1071	14/21	10/11		
652	6	Czech	49°54'28.387"N,	Apartment	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×	
		Republic	16°36'36.616"E	1									
654	10	Czech	49°54'6.672"N,1	Apartment	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
		Republic	6°26'48.631"E										
655	10	Depublic	48 48 7.699 N,I	Historic house	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
		Czech	4 10 49.120 E										
656	10	Republic	13°77'79 9/5"F	?	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
		Czech	49°4'47 962"N 1										
657	10	Republic	9°37'9.771"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	Val	Iso	В		×
-	10	CI 1.	48°8'46.59"N,17	0		,	27/4	27/1		Ŧ	D		
/00	10	Slovakia	°6'53.91"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
702	0	Slavalria	48°43'42.37"N,2	9	Human	/	NI/A	NI/A	Val	Inc	р		~
/02	9	Slovakla	1°14'37.32"E	!	Human	/	IN/A	IN/A	vai	150	В		×
704	10	Slovakia	48°39'3.51"N,20	9	Human	/	K 1937989	K 1937969	Val	Iso	в		×
/04	10	Biovakia	°32'10.67"E		Tuman	/	KJ/57/0/	K3757707	v di	150	Б		~
705	10	Slovakia	48°13'42.00"N,1	2	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
			5°20'14.87"E			,					_		
720	10	Austria	46°11'16.343"N,	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	Val	Iso	В		×
		Citl-	9 1 18.005 E										
731	10	nd	47 22 55.50 N,0	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
		Switzerla	47°25'26 89"N 8										
733	9	nd	°29'43.45"E	?	Human	/	GU985525.1	KJ937974	Val	Leu/Iso	A/B		×
53.4	10	Switzerla	47°24'23.12"N,8	0		,	1/1025000	141027040		T			
/34	10	nd	°34'31.14"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ93/969	Val	Leu	A		×
725	11	Switzerla	45°28'39.20"N,1	9	Human	/	CU095526 1	V 1027074	Val	Iso	р		~
/33	11	nd	2°13'44.78"E	2	numan	/	00985520.1	KJ95/9/4	vai	150	Б		^
750	9	Italy	45°26'19.30"N,1	2	Human	/	K 1937984	K 1937978	Val	Iso	в		×
150		itary	2°19'53.27"E	·	Trannan	,	13757701	113737770	vui	150	Б		
752	10	Italv	45°28'57.59"N,1	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×*
			2°13'55.96"E										
753	10	Italy	49°29'3.19"N,8°	?	Human	/	GU985523.1	KJ937975	Val	Iso	В		×
		-	28 U.U8 E										
776	8	Germany	52 33 3.63 N,9	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	Val	Iso	В		×
			60°26'59 16"N 2										
778	8	Germany	2°14'37.56"F	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A		×
-	10	F . 1 .	60°29'30.02"N.1	0		,	G1100550(1	1/102505/		Ŧ	0		
/90	10	Finland	5°24'5.15"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937/97/4	Leu	Iso	C		×
705	12	Swadon	60°29'39.45"S,1	0	Uuman	/	NT/A	NI/A	Val	Ico	P		
/95	12	Sweden	5°23'50.00"V	!	Human	/	IN/A	IN/A	vai	150	В		×

796	9	Sweden	60°29'31.22"N,1 5°23'51.25"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×
797	11	Sweden	53°54'33.82"N,1 4°14'55.41"E	?	Human	/	GU985525.1 KJ937989	KJ937974 KJ937969	Val	Iso	В	×
831	8	Poland	54°21'0.96"N,18 °39'6.17"E	?	Human	/	KJ937989	KJ937969	N/A	N/A	N/A	×
832	10	Poland	50°5'14.25"N,19 °54'35.20"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×
833	10	Poland	50°2'42.13"N,19 °57'2.44"E	?	Human	/	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	×
834	10	Poland	48°53'42.044"N, 15°48'49.281"E	?	Human	/	GU985526.1	KJ937974	N/A	N/A	N/A	×

Where available, information relating to sample size, country of origin, geographic co-ordinates, dwelling type, host (bat or human), and specific bat species is provided. Genetic information relating to mtDNA haplotype at COI and 16S, *kdr* profile and subsequent composite haplotype (based on Zhu et al. 2010) are provided. *kdr* haplotypes generated through individual PCR amplification of three specimens per collection, then blending in equal concentrations before sequencing. V419L = valine to leucine, <u>G</u>TC to <u>C</u>TC; L925I = leucine to isoleucine, <u>C</u>TT to <u>A</u>TT. Samples for microsatellite analyses designated based on sample size (<7 or \ge 7). N/A = specific information not available. * denotes population within which \ge 5 alleles at one or more loci were detected.